Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/22 : CIA-RDP58-00039A000300050137-4

| 25 X 1 | Report of Meeting 10:00 to 11:00 with |
|---------------|--|
| | to coordinate the Case Officers Finance Handbook. |
| 25X1 | The meeting was held in office 1050 "L" Building with the following persons present: |
| 25X1 | Executive Assistant to DD/P |
| | ompt. Rep., SSA/DDS |
| 25X1 | ry to Mr, Area Records Officer, OTR |
| | nance Instructor, AT/IS/OTR |
| 25 X 1 | said the purpose of the meeting was to resolve the differences |
| 25X1 | and exceptions taken by in his recent memorandum of 29 June and |
| 25 X 1 | that would handle the discussion for OTR. |
| 25X1 | Using memorandum of 16 August as his basis for discussion |
| 25 X 1 | took the items point by point: |
| | Item b pertaining to the use of humorous illustrations was the point of |
| 25X1 | most discussion. stated that he was definitely opposed to any |
| 0EV1 | illustrations in any agency regulations, unless they were of the highest artistic calibre and were pertinent to activities: He gave as his reasons |
| 25X1 | calibre and were pertinent to activities: He gave as his reasons for this opposition: |
| | |
| | a. Such illustrations detract from the purpose of the book. |
| | b. When they are of poor qualities, as these are, they are the |
| | subject of ridicule by professionals who know good work. |
| | c. They insult the intelligence of the people for whom they are intended. |
| 25X1 | |
| | pointed out that the purpose of the illustrations was to stimulate the interest of the case officer in reading the book; to induce him to open |
| 25X1 | it and see what is is about. It was pointed out by that the consensus |
| 25 X 1 | of all others who had coordinated the book was that the illustrations were a |
| 20/1 | definite asset and helpful. replied that regardless of that, he did not agree and could not see them, that the book could not go to the |
| | field unless it was authenticated by him and he would not authenticate any |
| 0574 | publication with such illustrations. |
| 25X1 | discussed with him the possibility of using an attractive and eye- |
| 25X1 | appealing cover to the book, in lieu of illustrations. This would not agree to. His feeling is that any "appeal" should be written in the for- |

25 YEAR RE-REVIEW

CONFIDENTIAL

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/22 : CIA-RDP58-00039A000300050137-4

| : | word and that anyone who receives the book will at least read the forward. |
|---------------|--|
| 25X1 | stated that as long as the book could not be issued without |
| 25X1 | authentication, and as he would not authenticate it with the illustrations therein he could see no alternative but to remove them. |
| 23/1 | was correct if it were to receive his approval. |
| 25X1 | At this point said that although he liked the illustrations, in view of the fact that their removal would not materially affect the purpose or text of the book he had no serious objection to their removal. |
| 25 X 1 | Item "c" was also a subject of considerable discussion. felt |
| 25X1 | keenly that regulations pertinent to the material should be quoted. |
| 20/(1 | pointed out that the inclusion of quoted regulations at |
| 25X1 | various paragraphs tended to clutter up the text, break continuity of reading and as stated in memorandum make corrections of changed regulations difficult. |
| 25X1 | pointed out that one of the principal defects with a previous effort was the fact that it was loaded with quotations and references to regulations and was discarded as just a "rehash of regulations". |
| 25X1 | pointed out that at one point in the production of the book the thought was to put pertinent regulations in bibliography form in the back of the book, but the idea was discarded in a previous coordination, and replaced by a glossary of terms. |
| 25X1 | said he would agree to having pertinent regulations quoted at the end of the book in bibliography or appendix form and would not insist on having them referenced directly in the text. |
| 0EV4 | matring offent referenced directly in the text. |
| 25X1 | Item "d" pointed out that originally the two orders of responsibility |
| 25 X 1 | had been as wants them and the present change was made to satisfy the Office of General Counsel. |
| 25X1 | felt General Counsel was not competent to determine |
| 25 X 1 | the order of accounting responsibilities and both believed the present order should be reversed. stated he had no objection to reversal as it was put in its present order only to please General Counsel. |
| 25X1 | Item "e" both felt that the addition of this phase would not confuse the case officer, but would simply be an additional imprise. |
| 25 X 1 | to him to be certain he had his superior's approval in his actions/ said if it was certain no confusion would be caused by its inclusion he had no further objection. |
| 25X1 | Item "g" pointed out that the draft had been edited by |
| 25X1 | Regulations Control for the stated purpose of putting the book in a consistant |
| 25X1 | person. Said that although that my be so obviously |
| 25 X 1 | missed several instances and these should be corrected. said that as he understood such editing was the function of Regulations Control. |

- 2 -

CONFIDENTIAL

| | Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/22 : CIA-RDP58-00039A000300050137-4 |
|---------------|--|
| 25X1 | Item "h" said he was of the opinion that sterilization was also a function of Regulations Control and it had been assumed that prior to final release someone in Regulation Control would edit if for purposes of official |
| 25 X 1 | sterilization. did not know for certain on this point but said if this were so them Regulations Control should do so. |
| 25X1 | Item "i" Considerable discussion revolved around this item. pointed out that in most issuances definitions were placed in the beginning as well as in a glossary in the rear in order that the key definitions would be firmly in mind when the book was read. After considerable disscussion the suggestion |
| 25 X 1 | was made by that all definitions could be put in the front as is usually done in other publications, eliminate the glossary in the rear, and thus meet the objection of duplicating the definitions. |
| 25X1 | Item "1" After considerable discussion deferred his decision on |
| 25 X 1 | this item to who felt the item should be retained. It was agreed to retain it. |
| 25X1 | Para 2 - pointed out that he had no objection to another review by |
| 25 X 1 | however, in view of the expressed desire of the DDS, that this work be expedited any additional reviews would simply delay its final produc- |
| 25 X 1 | tion that much longer. pointed out that he had not intended that OTR return the work to him for review, but rather that after all corrections are made it must be sent to him by Regulations Control for without his authen- |
| | tication it cannot go to the field. He further stated that regardless of DDS's desires it could not go out without his approval, however, he emphasized |
| | his desire to get it out as quickly as possible. |
| 25 X 1 | At this point again remarked that in view of the fact that |
| | approval was required prior to publication, and if he would not approve without the above changes there appeared no alternative but to make the requested changes if it was to be published. |
| 25X1 | The meeting then ended. |
| | |
| 25X1 | Comments of |
| 25 X 1 | From the above it appears that unless a publication is authenticated by |
| | it cannot be distributed to the <u>DDP complex.</u> Therefore, it appears there is no |
| 25 X 1 | alternative to making the changes requests, if it is still intended |
| 25X1 | to publish this book as an official handbook. |
| | |
| 25 X 1 | Comments o: |
| | In view of the above, the continuing work on various issuances for field use appears to be "Love"s Labour's Lost". It is suggested that this duty be taken over by some other office as these channels are necessary for any other field |
| 25X1 | publications. It is assumed that represents the Office of the |
| 25X1 | Comptroller and completely acquiesces to the desires of |
| | |
| | Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/02/22 : CIA-RDP58-00039A000300050137-4 |